

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,924	05/30/2006	Jin Lin Gao	ISHI20.953(334786-00026)	8782	
26304 17590 11/19/2008 KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
NEW YORK,	NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/580,924	GAO, JIN LIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William C. Doerrler	3744	

	William C. Doerrler	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO prior for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO prior for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior, and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Even the application to become ARMODINED (38 U.S.C. § 135). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed pattent term adultations. See 37 CFR 1.70(4)						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Description 2a) This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2006</u> is/are: a)∑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to l rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5-30-2006, 5-24-2006.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: ___

Application/Control Number: 10/580,924

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2 and 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gao et al (US 5,974,807) in view of Schilling et al (US 5,878,580).

Gao et al disclose applicants' basic inventive concept, a pulse tube cooling system with a regenerator and a two stage pulse tube with a rotary valve controlling the flow to the regenerator and pulsetube sections, substantially as claimed with the exception of using a rotary valve with multiple tracks to provide separate flow paths for the pulse tube stages and the regenerator to provide two cycles per rotation of the valve element. Schilling et al show this feature to be old in the rotary valve art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Schilling et al to modify the pulse tube cooler by using a rotary valve with three tracks to provide improved control of the pressure fluctuations in the tube thus improving performance.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu et al (US 6,434,947) in view of Schilling et al (US 5,878,580). Zhu et al disclose applicants' basic inventive concept, a pulse tube cooling system with a regenerator and a two stage pulse tube with a rotary valve (20. column 5 line 65) controlling the flow to

Art Unit: 3744

the regenerator and pulsetube sections and multiple buffer volumes (50 and 51), substantially as claimed with the exception of using a rotary valve with multiple tracks to provide separate flow paths for the pulse tube stages and the regenerator to provide two cycles per rotation of the valve element. Schilling et al show this feature to be old in the rotary valve art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Schilling et al to modify the pulse tube cooler of Zhu et al by using a rotary valve with three tracks to provide improved control of the pressure fluctuations in the tube thus improving performance.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wagner shows a multiple port rotary valve.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD

/William C Doerrler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744